

Dual Cones and Mirror Symmetry for Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds

Victor V. Batyrev *

Universität-GHS-Essen, Fachbereich 6, Mathematik

Universitätsstr. 3, 45141 Essen

Federal Republic of Germany

e-mail: matf00@vm.hrz.uni-essen.de

and

Lev A. Borisov

Department of Mathematics

University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1003

e-mail: Lev.Borisov@math.lsa.umich.edu

Abstract

We introduce a special class of convex rational polyhedral cones which allows to construct generalized Calabi-Yau varieties of dimension $(d+2(r-1))$, where r is a positive integer and d is the dimension of critical string vacua with cenral charge $c = 3d$. It is conjectured that the natural combinatorial duality satisfied by these cones corresponds to the mirror involution. Using the theory of toric varieties, we show that our conjecture includes as special cases all already known examples of mirror pairs proposed by physicists and agrees with previous conjectures of the authors concerning explicit constructions of mirror manifolds. In particular we obtain a mathematical framework which explains the construction of mirrors of rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds.

* Supported by DFG, Forschungsschwerpunkt Komplexe Mannigfaltigkeiten.

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the problem of finding an appropriate mathematical framework which explains explicit constructions of mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau manifolds. In this context, the existence of rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds furnish a fundamental obstruction to a mathematical formulation of mirror symmetry motivated by a natural involution in $N = 2$ superconformal field theories. In recent works [16, 17], Schimmrigk came to the conclusion that the class of Calabi-Yau manifolds *is not appropriate setting for mirror symmetry*. For every positive integer r , Schimmrigk has proposed a new class of Kähler manifolds of dimension $(d + 2(r - 1))$ which generalizes the class of d -dimensional Calabi-Yau varieties. Although the first Chern class of *generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds* does not vanish for $r > 1$, it is possible to derive from these manifold the massless spectrum of critical string vacua. Typical examples of the generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds are obtained from quasi-smooth hypersurfaces of degree w in weighted projective spaces

$$\mathbf{P}(w_1, \dots, w_{d+2r})$$

where the degree w and the weights $w_1, \dots, w_{d+2r}$ are related by the condition:

$$w_1 + \dots + w_{d+2r} = rw.$$

Schimmrigk has shown that some classes of usual d -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds V which can be described as complete intersections of r hypersurfaces in products of r copies of weighted projective spaces naturally give rise to generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds M of dimension $(d - 2(r - 1))$ embedded as hypersurface in some higher-dimensional weighted projective space. Moreover, the correspondence $V \mapsto M$ induces the canonical inclusion of the Hodge (p, q) -spaces

$$H^{p,q}(V) \subset H^{p+r-1,q+r-1}(M).$$

It is important to remark that not every generalized Calabi-Yau manifold of dimension $(d + 2(r - 1))$ can be obtained by this method from some usual d -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold.

In [1, 3, 5] there were proposed a combinatorial approach to the construction of mirror pairs using theory of toric varieties [7, 13]. The main idea of this approach is the interpretation of the mirror duality in terms of the classical duality for convex sets. It is a natural ask whether the same approach can be applied to rigid and generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds? The main purpose of this paper is to show that the answer is positive.

In Section 2, we define a special class of convex rational polyhedral cones which we call *reflexive Gorenstein cones*. Every reflexive Gorenstein cone σ canonically defines the projective toric Fano variety $\mathbf{P}_\sigma$ together with the ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(1)$ on it such that some r -tensor power of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(1)$ is isomorphic to the anticanonical sheaf of $\mathbf{P}_\sigma$ (in particular $\mathbf{P}_\sigma$ has only Gorenstein singularities). The zeros of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(1)$ are generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds. For special simplicial reflexive Gorenstein cones, we obtain generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds considered by Schimmrigk. The class of reflexive Gorenstein cones of fixed dimension admits a natural involution $\sigma \rightarrow \check{\sigma}$ which we conjecture to correspond the mirror involution in $N = 2$ superconformal theories. The rest of the paper is devoted to arguments which confirm this conjecture.

In Section 3, we give a general overview for the method of reduction of complete intersections in toric varieties to hypersurfaces in higher dimensional toric varieties. This method allows to construct reflexive Gorenstein cones and generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds from usual Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Gorenstein toric Fano varieties. The relation between Hodge structures of Calabi-Yau complete intersections and the corresponding generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds enable to use results from [2] to determine variations of Hodge structure for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties.

In Section 4, we check that the duality between nef-partitions defining Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Gorenstein toric Fano varieties [5] agree with the duality between reflexive Gorenstein cones.

In Section 5, we show that the duality for reflexive Gorenstein cones agree also with the explicit construction of mirrors for rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to I.V. Dolgachev, H. Esnault, S. Katz, Yu.I. Manin, D. van Straten, and R. Schimmrigk for helpful discussions.

2 Reflexive Gorenstein cones

Let $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{N} = \text{Hom}(\overline{M}, \mathbf{Z})$ be dual free abelian groups of rank $\overline{d}$, $\overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ the real scalar extensions of $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{N}$, $\langle *, * \rangle : \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \times \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the natural pairing. We consider $\overline{M}$ (resp. $\overline{N}$) as a maximal lattice in $\overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ (resp. in $\overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$).

Definition 2.1 Let $e_1, \dots, e_k$ be elements of M . By a finite rational polyhedral cone

$$\sigma = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$$

generated by $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ we mean the set of all $x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_k e_k \in \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

Definition 2.2 Let σ be a finite rational polyhedral cone in $\overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then the *dual cone* is the set

$$\check{\sigma} = \{y \in \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \sigma\}.$$

Definition 2.3 If a $\overline{d}$ -dimensional cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ satisfies the condition $\sigma \cap -\sigma = 0$, then we can uniquely choose the *minimal set of integral generators* of σ which is defined as the set of all primitive $\overline{M}$ -lattice vectors on 1-dimensional faces of σ . By a *generator* of σ we will always mean a primitive $\overline{M}$ -lattice vector on a 1-dimensional face one of σ .

Definition 2.4 Let σ be a finite rational polyhedral cone in $\overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ satisfying the condition $\sigma \cap -\sigma = 0$. Then the cone σ is called *Gorenstein* if there exists an element $n_{\sigma} \in N$ such that $\langle e, n_{\sigma} \rangle = 1$ for each generator e of σ .

Remark 2.5 If $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a Gorenstein cone whose dimension equals the dimension of $\overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$, then the element n_{σ} is uniquely defined.

Definition 2.6 A Gorenstein cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is called *reflexive* if the dual cone $\check{\sigma} \subset \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is also Gorenstein. In this case, the positive integer

$$r_{\sigma} = \langle m_{\check{\sigma}}, n_{\sigma} \rangle$$

will be called the *index* of σ (or of $\check{\sigma}$). Since the notion of reflexive Gorenstein cone strongly depends on the choice of the maximal sublattice $\overline{M}$ in the $\overline{d}$ -dimensional real vector space, we will say that reflexive Gorenstein cones are defined by pairs $(\sigma, \overline{M})$.

The notions of Gorenstein cones and reflexive Gorenstein cones can be interpreted via toric geometry.

Proposition 2.7 Let $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma} = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap \overline{N}]$ be the affine $\overline{d}$ -dimensional toric variety associated with a rational polyhedral cone σ . Then σ is a Gorenstein cone if and only if $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma}$ has only Gorenstein singularities.

Proof. The statement follows from the characterization of M. Reid for Gorenstein toric singularities [14]. $\square$

By a lattice polyhedron in a finite dimensional real vector space $\mathcal{U}$ over $\mathbf{R}$ we always mean a convex polyhedron whose vertices belong to some fixed maximal sublattice in $\mathcal{U}$.

Definition 2.8 Let σ be a Gorenstein cone. Then

$$\Delta_\sigma = \{x \in \sigma \mid \langle x, n_\sigma \rangle = 1\}$$

is a $(\bar{d} - 1)$ -dimensional convex lattice polyhedron which we call the *support* of σ .

Remark 2.9 Every lattice polyhedron Δ in a d -dimensional space $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ can be considered as a support of $(d + 1)$ -dimensional Gorenstein cone $\sigma_\Delta \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R} \oplus M_{\mathbf{R}}$ defined as

$$\sigma_\Delta = \{(\lambda, \lambda x) \in \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}, x \in \Delta\}.$$

Definition 2.10 [1] A lattice polyhedron is called *reflexive* if σ_Δ is a reflexive Gorenstein cone of index 1. If Δ is a reflexive polyhedron, then the support of the dual reflexive Gorenstein cone $\check{\sigma}_\Delta$ is another reflexive polyhedron Δ^* which is called *dual* to Δ .

Proposition 2.11 A Gorenstein cone σ is reflexive cone of index r if and only if $r\Delta_\sigma$ is a reflexive polyhedron.

Proof. Let $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a Gorenstein cone and r a positive integer. Define new lattices $M' \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $N' \subset \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$ as follows

$$M' = \{x \in \overline{M} \mid \langle x, n_\sigma \rangle = 0 \pmod{r}\},$$

$$N' = \overline{N} + \mathbf{Z}n'_\sigma \text{ where } n'_\sigma = \frac{1}{r}n_\sigma.$$

Then the lattice N' is dual to the lattice M' and the pair (σ, M') defines again a Gorenstein cone whose support is $r\Delta_\sigma$.

Assume now that the pair $(\check{\sigma}, \overline{N})$ defines a reflexive Gorenstein cone of index r . Since $m_{\check{\sigma}} \in M'$ and $\langle m_{\check{\sigma}}, e \rangle = 1$ for every $\overline{N}$ -integral generator e of $\check{\sigma}$, we obtain that e is also a N' -integral generator of $\check{\sigma}$. Thus the pair $(\check{\sigma}, N')$ defines a reflexive Gorenstein cone of index 1 = $\langle m_{\check{\sigma}}, n'_\sigma \rangle$. By 2.10, $r\Delta_\sigma$ is reflexive. Analogous arguments show the part "if". $\square$

The next statement follows immediately from the equivalent characterizations of reflexive polyhedra in [1]:

Proposition 2.12 *A lattice polyhedron Δ is reflexive if and only if Δ is the support of global sections of the ample anticanonical sheaf on a Gorenstein toric Fano variety.*

Using 2.11 and 2.12, we obtain:

Corollary 2.13 *Let σ be a Gorenstein cone. We define the degree of an element $m \in \sigma \cap \overline{M}$ as $\deg m = \langle m, n_\sigma \rangle$. Let $\mathbf{P}_\sigma = \text{Proj } \mathbf{C}[\sigma \cap \overline{M}]$ be the corresponding projective $(\overline{d} - 1)$ -dimensional toric variety. Then σ is reflexive Gorenstein cone of index r if and only if $\mathbf{P}_\sigma$ is a Gorenstein toric Fano variety such that the anticanonical sheaf on $\mathbf{P}_\sigma$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(r) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(1)^{\otimes r}$.*

By the adjunction formula, one has:

Corollary 2.14 *Let σ be a reflexive Gorenstein cone. Then the zeros of a general global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(1)$ define a $(\overline{d} - 2)$ -dimensional algebraic variety Z with only Gorenstein toroidal singularities such that the anticanonical sheaf on Z is $\mathcal{O}_Z(r - 1)$.*

Definition 2.15 We call the $(\overline{d} - 2)$ -dimensional algebraic varieties Z obtained as zeros of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\sigma}(1)$ (2.14) *generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds associated with the reflexive Gorenstein cone σ .*

Example 2.16 Let $\mathbf{P}(w_1, \dots, w_{\overline{d}})$ be a $(\overline{d} - 1)$ -dimensional weighted projective spaces whose weights satisfy the condition $w_1 + \dots + w_{\overline{d}} = rw_0$ for some positive integers r and w_0 such that w_i divides w_0 for all $i = 1, \dots, \overline{d}$. Let $\sigma = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^{\overline{d}} \subset \mathbf{R}^{\overline{d}}$ be the positive octant. Define the maximal lattice $\overline{M} \subset \mathbf{R}^{\overline{d}}$ as

$$\overline{M} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{\overline{d}}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\overline{d}} \mid w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_{\overline{d}} x_{\overline{d}} = 0 \pmod{w_0}\}.$$

Then the pair $(\sigma, \overline{M})$ defines an example of a reflexive Gorenstein cone of index r . In this case the associated with σ generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds are hypersurfaces of degree w_0 in $\mathbf{P}(w_1, \dots, w_{\overline{d}})$.

Now we formulate the main conjecture:

Conjecture 2.17 *Every pair of $\overline{d}$ -dimensional dual reflexive Gorenstein cones σ and $\check{\sigma}$ of index r give rises to a $N = 2$ superconformal theory with central charge $c = 3(\overline{d} - 2(r - 1))$. Moreover, the superpotentials of the corresponding Landau-Ginzburg theories define two families of generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds associated with σ and $\check{\sigma}$ which are exchanged by the mirror involution.*

Remark 2.18 By 2.10, the duality between reflexive Gorenstein cones is equivalent to the duality between the supporting reflexive polyhedra. This shows that Conjecture 2.17 includes the one of [1].

3 From complete intersections to hypersurfaces

In this section we discuss the general procedure which ascribes to a complete intersection in toric variety a hypersurface in another toric variety. This method is essentially due to Danilov and Khovanskii [8] (see also [9, 18]). Then we show that the hypersurfaces that arise in this way give rise to reflexive Gorenstein cones if and only if the original complete intersection is Calabi-Yau variety (Prop. 3.6). We also discuss when the reflexive Gorenstein cones come from some Calabi-Yau complete intersections.

Let M be a free abelian group of rank d , $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ the real scalar extension of M . Let $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ be lattice polyhedra supporting global sections of semi-ample invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)$ on a d -dimensional toric variety X . Without loss of generality we will always assume that $\dim \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_r = d$. Let $\mathbf{Z}^r$ be the standard r -dimensional lattice, $\mathbf{R}^r$ its real scalar extension. We put $\overline{M} = \mathbf{Z}^r \oplus M$, $\overline{d} = d + r$, and define the cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ as

$$\sigma = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_r x_r) \in \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}, x_i \in \Delta_i, i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Then σ is a $\overline{d}$ -dimensional Gorenstein cone, where n_σ is an element of the dual lattice $\overline{N}$ defined uniquely by the conditions

$$\langle x, n_\sigma \rangle = 0 \text{ for } x \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}};$$

$$\langle e_i, n_\sigma \rangle = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbf{Z}^r \subset \overline{M}$.

Remark 3.1 The supporting polyhedron Δ_σ coincides with the $(\overline{d} - 1)$ -dimensional polyhedron $\Delta_1 * \dots * \Delta_r$ considered by Danilov and Khovanskii ([8] §6).

Proposition 3.2 Denote by Y the $(\overline{d} - 1)$ -dimensional toric variety which is the toric $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$ -bundle over X :

$$Y = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)).$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(-1)$ be the Grothendieck tautological sheaf on Y . Then $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ is semi-ample, and $\mathbf{P}_\sigma$ is the birational image of the toric morphism

$$\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\sigma$$

defined by global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. In particular the polyhedron Δ_σ supports the global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$.

Proof. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection. Since π agrees with the torus actions on X and Y , we obtain the natural torus action on $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Since

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y(1) = \mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)$$

is the direct sum of sheaves generated by global sections, $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ is also generated by global sections; i.e., $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ is semi-ample. In order to determine the polyhedron supporting the global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$, it suffices to compute the $(d + r - 1)$ -dimensional torus action on

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)).$$

The latter is trivial, since we know the $(r - 1)$ -dimensional torus action on homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbf{P}^{r-1}$ and the d -dimensional torus action on $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) defined by the lattice points in the polyhedron Δ_i . $\square$

Corollary 3.3 *Every global section s of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ defines uniquely global sections s_i of $\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$ such that*

$$\pi_*(s) = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_r)),$$

and vice versa, every r -tuple of sections $s_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) defines a global section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))$.

Corollary 3.4 *Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ and $s_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) be global sections as in 3.3. Denote by V_s the hypersurface in Y defined by $s = 0$, and by V_{s_i} ($i = 1, \dots, r$) the hypersurfaces in Y defined by $s_i = 0$. Then $Y \setminus V_s$ is locally trivial in Zariski topology $\mathbf{C}^{r-1}$ -bundle over*

$$X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^r V_{f_i}.$$

Remark 3.5 The statement in 3.4 implies the isomorphism

$$H_c^i(X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^r V_{f_i}) \cong H_c^{i+2r-2}(Y \setminus V_s)$$

which sends the (p, q) -component in cohomology with compact supports of $X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^r V_{f_i}$ to $(p+r-1, q+r-1)$ -component in the cohomology with compact supports of $Y \setminus V_s$. The relation between Hodge structures of the complements to the higher dimensional hypersurfaces and to the complete intersections was used for estimations of the Hodge type of algebraic subvarieties [9] and in the proof of weak global Torelli theorem [18]. One can consider 3.4 also as a version of the Lagrange method proposed by Danilov and Khovanskii ([8], §6) for affine hypersurfaces.

Proposition 3.6 *The cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a reflexive Gorenstein cone of index r if and only if $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1 + \dots + D_r)$ is isomorphic to the anticanonical sheaf on X .*

Proof. Standard calculations show that the canonical sheaf $\mathcal{K}_Y$ on Y is isomorphic to the tensor product

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(-r) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{K}_X \otimes \pi^* \Lambda^r(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)).$$

Since

$$\Lambda^r(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(D_r)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D_1 + \dots + D_r),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{K}_Y^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(r) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{K}_X^{-1} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(-D_1 - \dots - D_r).$$

Assume that $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1 + \dots + D_r)$ is isomorphic to the anticanonical sheaf $\mathcal{K}_X^{-1}$ on X . Then $\mathcal{O}_Y(r)$ is isomorphic to the anticanonical sheaf on Y . Therefore, by 2.13 and 3.2, σ is reflexive.

The "only if" part is left to reader. □

The following example of the reduction of Calabi-Yau complete intersections to a higher-dimensional generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds is due to Schimmrigk [17].

Example 3.7 Let k, l be two positive integers. Define V as a Calabi-Yau complete intersection of two hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{P}^k \times \mathbf{P}^l$ having bidegrees $(k+1, 1)$ and $(0, l+1)$. Then the corresponding generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds associated with the reflexive Gorenstein cone of index 2 are hypersurfaces of degree $(k+1)l$ in the $(k+l-1)$ -dimensional weighted projective space

$$\mathbf{P}(\underbrace{(l-1), \dots, (l-1)}_{k+1}, \underbrace{(k+1), \dots, (k+1)}_{l+1}).$$

Definition 3.8 A $\bar{d}$ -dimensional reflexive Gorenstein cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is called *split* if there exist two lattice polyhedra $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ of dimension $d = \bar{d} - 2$ such that

$$\sigma \cong \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) \in \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}, x_i \in \Delta_i, i = 1, 2\}.$$

Definition 3.9 A $\bar{d}$ -dimensional reflexive Gorenstein cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ of index r is called *completely split* if there exist r lattice polyhedra $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ of dimension $d = \bar{d} - r$ such that

$$\sigma \cong \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_r x_r) \in \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}, x_i \in \Delta_i, i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Remark 3.10 Cones that come from Calabi-Yau complete intersections are completely split. On the other hand, every splitting of the reflexive Gorenstein cone gives rise to the family of complete intersections. However it's not clear whether there exist reflexive Gorenstein cones that could be split in several essentially different ways, so that they come from different Calabi-Yau varieties.

There exist simple examples of reflexive Gorenstein cones of index $r > 1$ which are not split (and hence are not completely split):

Example 3.11 Let $\sigma = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^{\bar{d}} \subset \mathbf{R}^{\bar{d}}$ be the positive octant. Assume that $\bar{d} = kr$ where k, r are positive integers and $k, r > 1$. Define the lattice $\overline{M}$ as

$$\overline{M} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{\bar{d}}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\bar{d}} \mid x_1 + \dots + x_{\bar{d}} = 0 \pmod{k}\}.$$

Then the pair $(\sigma, \overline{M})$ defines a reflexive Gorenstein cone of index r which is not split. Indeed, if there were two $(\bar{d} - 2)$ -dimensional polyhedra Δ_1, Δ_2 having the property described in 3.8, then for any two vertices $v_1 \in \Delta_1, v_2 \in \Delta_2$ we could find two generators $e_1 = (1, 0, v_1), e_2 = (0, 1, v_2)$ of the cone σ such that the segment $[e_1, e_2]$ would have no interior $\overline{M}$ -lattice points. On the other hand, it is clear that for any two generators of σ the segment $[e_1, e_2]$ always contains $k - 1$ interior $\overline{M}$ -lattice points.

Remark 3.12 In Section 5 we consider an example of a $3d$ -dimensional cone reflexive Gorenstein cone σ which is completely split, but the dual cone $\check{\sigma}$ is not split.

4 Complete intersections and nef-partitions

There is an important class of reflexive Gorenstein cones σ such that both σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ are completely split. These cones correspond to so called *nef-partitions* introduced in [5].

We use notations from the previous section and assume that X is a Gorenstein Fano toric variety. Let $T \subset X$ be the dense open torus orbit, $E_1, \dots, E_k$ irreducible components of $X \setminus T$. Then $\mathcal{O}(E_1 + \dots + E_k)$ is naturally isomorphic to the anticanonical sheaf $\mathcal{K}_X^{-1}$ on X . Denote by I the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Put $E = \sum_{i \in I} E_i$.

Definition 4.1 The decomposition of the index set I into a disjoint union of r sets I_j , $j = 1, \dots, r$ is called a *nef-partition* if all $D_j = \sum_{i \in I_j} E_i$ are semi-ample Cartier divisors on X . By abuse of notations, we will call by nef-partition also the set of convex lattice polyhedra $\Pi = \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r\}$ such that Δ_i is the support of global sections of $\mathcal{O}(D_i)$ $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Definition 4.2 Let $\Pi = \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r\}$ be a nef-partition, Σ is the fan in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ defining the Gorenstein toric variety X . For every E_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$), we denote by e_i the primitive N -lattice generator of the 1-dimensional cone of Σ corresponding to the divisor E_i . Define the lattice polyhedron ∇_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) as the convex hull

$$\nabla_i = \text{Conv}(\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_i} \{e_j\}).$$

Remark 4.3 Since $D_i = \sum_{j \in J_i} E_j$ defines a convex piecewise linear function ψ_i such that $\psi_i(e_j) = 1$ if $j \in J_i$ and $\psi_i(e_j) = 0$ otherwise, we can define $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$ as

$$\Delta_i = \{x \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \geq -\psi_i(y)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

In the sequel, we will always assume this definition which immediately implies that all polyhedra $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$ contain $0 \in M_{\mathbf{R}}$.

The main result of [5] is the following:

Theorem 4.4 *The set $\Pi^* = \{\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_r\}$ is also a nef-partition. In other words, there exist another d -dimensional Gorenstein toric Fano variety X^* which compactifies the dual torus T^* and the index set $J^* = \{1, \dots, l\}$ for the irreducible components $E_1^*, \dots, E_l^*$ of $X^* \setminus T^*$ such that the ∇_i is the support of global sections of the semi-ample sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D_i^*) = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{j \in J_i^*} E_j^*)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) where $J_1^* \cup \dots \cup J_r^*$ is a splitting of I^* into a disjoint union.*

The combinatorial involution on $\Pi \mapsto \Pi^*$ is conjectured to give rise to the mirror symmetry for the families of Calabi-Yau complete intersections in X and X^* . Some results which confirm this conjecture are obtained in [4].

On the other hand, once we have

$$\mathcal{K}_X^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_X(D_1 + \cdots + D_r)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{X^*}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{X^*}(D_1^* + \cdots + D_r^*)$$

we can follow the procedure of the previous section and get two reflexive $\bar{d}$ -dimensional Gorenstein cone $\sigma \subset \overline{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\sigma^* \subset \overline{N}_{\mathbf{R}}$.

The purpose of this section is to relate the involution for nef-partitions [5] to the involution for reflexive Gorenstein cones.

First we recall one property of dual nef-partitions:

Proposition 4.5 [5] *Let $x \in \Delta_i$, $y \in \nabla_j$. Then*

$$\langle x, y \rangle \geq -1 \quad \text{if } i = j,$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

The main statement is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 *Assume that the canonical pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times N \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is extended to the pairing between $\overline{M} = \mathbf{Z}^r \oplus M$ and $\overline{N} = \mathbf{Z}^r \oplus N$ as*

$$\langle (a_1, \dots, a_r, m), (b_1, \dots, b_r, n) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i + \langle m, n \rangle.$$

Then the cone σ is dual to σ^ ; i.e., the dual nef-partitions in the sense of [5] give rise to the dual reflexive Gorenstein cones.*

Proof. By 4.5, if $(a_1, \dots, a_r, m) \in \sigma$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_r, n) \in \sigma^*$, then

$$\langle (a_1, \dots, a_r, m), (b_1, \dots, b_r, n) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore $\sigma^* \subset \check{\sigma}$.

Let $(b_1, \dots, b_r, n) \in \check{\sigma}$. Then, for any $x \in \Delta_i$, one has $\langle x, n \rangle \geq -b_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). Using the same arguments as in the proof of Prop. 3.2 in [5], we obtain that $n \in b_1 \nabla_1 + \cdots + b_r \nabla_r$. Therefore $\check{\sigma} \subset \sigma^*$. $\square$

Remark 4.7 Several different nef-partitions can give rise to the same reflexive Gorenstein cone, so the duality for nef-partitions carries more information than that for the cones. From the geometrical point of view, the nef-partition corresponds to the family of Calabi-Yau complete intersections together with some special degeneration of the family into the union of the strata of $\dim d - r$. It is not yet clear if the dual family depends upon the degeneration or not, which is related to the question in Remark 3.10. Of course, there are no such problems in the case of hypersurfaces, or, equivalently, when the index of the reflexive Gorenstein cones is 1.

5 Mirrors of rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds

All already known constructions of the mirror correspondence for rigid Calabi-Yau manifolds were originated from the explicit identification of the minimal superconformal models of Gepner [10] with the special Landau-Ginzburg superpotentials [12]. This identification allows to apply the orbifolding modulo some finite symmetry group [11, 15]. Our purpose is to show that the orbifold-construction of mirrors of rigid Calabi-Yau varieties agrees with the duality for reflexive Gorenstein cones.

We consider in details the example of the rigid d -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold associated with the superconformal theory 1^{3d} which is the tensor product of $3d$ copies of the level-1 theories.

Let $E_0 = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}\langle 1, \tau \rangle$ be the unique elliptic curve having an automorphism of order 3; i.e., $J(E_0) = 0$, $\tau = e^{\pi i/3}$ and E_0 is isomorphic to the Fermat cubic in $\mathbf{P}^2$. Notice that the action of the group $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ on E_0 has exactly 3 fixed points which we denote by p_0, p_1, p_2 . Let

$$G = \{(g_1, \dots, g_d) \in (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^d \mid g_1 + \dots + g_d = 0 \pmod{d}\}.$$

Then G is the maximal subgroup in $(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^d$ whose action on the product $X = (E_0)^d$ leaves invariant the holomorphic d -form $z_1 \wedge \dots \wedge z_d$. We denote by Z the geometric quotient X/G considered as a d -dimensional orbifold. Then the mirror involution in the 1^{3d} superconformal theory shows that Z is mirror symmetric to the $(3d - 2)$ -dimensional Fermat cubic $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{3d-1}$. One sees a geometric confirmation of this duality from the following statement:

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\hat{Z}$ be a maximal projective crepant partial resolution of quotient singularities of Z [1]. Then*

$$h^{1,1}(\hat{Z}) = h^{3d-3,1}(Y).$$

Proof. Using the standard technique based on the consideration of the Jacobian ring associated with the homogeneous equation of Y , we obtain that a basis of $H^{3d-3,1}(Y)$ can be identified with all square-free monomials of degree 3 in $3d$ variables. Therefore

$$h^{3d-3,1}(Y) = \binom{3d}{3} = \frac{d(3d-1)(3d-2)}{2}.$$

On the other hand, there exists exactly d linearly independent G -invariant $(1,1)$ -forms on X : $dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1, \dots, dz_d \wedge d\bar{z}_d$. Thus, we have $h^{1,1}(Z) = d$. It remains to compute the number of exceptional divisors on $\hat{Z}$.

Let $\gamma_1 : \hat{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be the maximal projective crepant partial resolution, $\gamma_2 : X \rightarrow Z$ the quotient by G . One easily sees that the γ_1 -image of an exceptional divisor $D \subset \hat{Z}$ in Z is either $(d-2)$ -dimensional, or $(d-3)$ -dimensional. In the first case, $\gamma_1(D)$ is the γ_2 -image of a G -invariant codimension-2 subvariety defined by the conditions $z_i, z_j \in \{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$ ($i \neq j$), and the γ_1 -fiber over general point of $\gamma_1(D)$ is the exceptional locus of the crepant resolution of the 2-dimensional Hirzebruch-Jung singularity of type A_2 , i.e., it consists of two irreducible components. In the second case, $\gamma_1(D)$ is the γ_2 -image of a G -invariant codimension-3 subvariety defined by conditions $z_i, z_j, z_k \in \{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$, and the γ_1 -fiber over general point of $\gamma_1(D)$ is an irreducible surface. Therefore the number of the exceptional divisors equals

$$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot \binom{d}{2} + 3^3 \cdot \binom{d}{3}.$$

This immediately implies

$$h^{1,1}(\hat{Z}) = d + 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot \binom{d}{2} + 3^3 \cdot \binom{d}{3} = \frac{d(3d-1)(3d-2)}{2}.$$

□

The combinatorial interpretation of the mirror duality between Y and Z is based on the representation of X and Z as complete intersections in toric varieties. Let $\mathbf{P}_\Delta$ be the 2-dimesnional toric variety associated with the reflexive polygon $\Delta = \text{Conv}\{(1,0), (0,1), (-1,-1)\}$. We can also define $\mathbf{P}_\Delta$ in $\mathbf{P}^3$ by the equation $u_0^3 = u_1 u_2 u_3$.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}_\Delta$ be a curve defined by an equation $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 + \lambda_3 u_3 = 0$. Then C is isomorphic to E_0 .*

Proof. It is sufficient to notice that the mapping

$$q : \mathbf{P}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Delta$$

$$(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i/3} u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$$

induces an automorphism of order 3 of C with three fixed points. $\square$

Corollary 5.3 *The d -dimensional variety X is a complete intersection of d nef-divisors in the $2d$ -dimensional toric variety $(\mathbf{P}_\Delta)^d$.*

Corollary 5.4 *The mapping q induces the action of $(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^d$ on $(\mathbf{P}_\Delta)^d$ such that Z becomes a complete intersection in the geometric quotient $(\mathbf{P}_\Delta)^d/G$.*

Proposition 5.5 *Let $\sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{3d}$ be positive octant. Define*

$$\overline{M} = \mathbf{Z}^{3d} + \mathbf{Z}(\frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{3}).$$

Then the pair (σ, M) defines a $3d$ -dimensional Gorenstein reflexive cone associated with Z as a complete intersection in $(\mathbf{P}_\Delta)^d/G$.

Proof. We notice that the 3-dimensional reflexive cone σ_Δ can be described as the positive octant in $\mathbf{R}^3$ with respect to the lattice

$$M = \mathbf{Z}^3 + \mathbf{Z}(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}).$$

Thus the pair $((\sigma_\Delta)^d, M^d)$ is the $3d$ -dimensional Gorenstein reflexive cone associated with X as a complete intersection in $(\mathbf{P}_\Delta)^d$. It remains to compute the sublattice $\overline{M} \subset M^d$ corresponding to modding out of $(\mathbf{P}_\Delta)^d$ by G . It is clear that $\mathbf{Z}^{3d} \subset \overline{M}$ and $M^d/\overline{M}$ must be isomorphic to G . On the other hand, by construction, $\overline{M}$ must be invariant under d -element permutations in M^d . These conditions define $\overline{M}$ uniquely as $\mathbf{Z}^{3d} + \mathbf{Z}(1/3, \dots, 1/3)$. $\square$

Using 2.16, we have:

Corollary 5.6 *Let $\sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{3d}$ be positive octant. Define*

$$\overline{N} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{3d}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{3d} \mid x_1 + \dots + x_{3d} = 0 \pmod{3}\}.$$

Then the pair (σ, N) defines the dual to (σ, M) reflexive Gorenstein cone with respect to the standard scalar product on $\mathbf{R}^{3d}$. In particular, the reflexive Gorenstein pair $(\sigma, \overline{N})$ corresponds to cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{P}^{3d-1}$.

Remark 5.7 If we choose an intermediate lattice $M' : \overline{M} \subset M' \subset M^d$ and the corresponding dual intermediate lattice $N' : N^d \subset N' \subset \overline{N}$, then we obtain another pair of dual reflexive Gorenstein cones. In particular, if $d = 3$, then one can obtain a rigid Calabi-Yau 3-fold Z' by modding out $E_0 \times E_0 \times E_0$ by the diagonal action of $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} \subset G \cong (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^2$. The mirror symmetric generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds are then obtained as quotients of 7-dimensional cubics by $\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$. This particular case was considered in [6].

References

- [1] V. V. Batyrev, *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, Preprint Universität-GHS-Essen, 1992 (to appear in J. Alg. Geom.)
- [2] V. V. Batyrev, *Variations of the Mixed Hodge Structure of Affine Hypersurfaces in Algebraic Tori*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993) 349-409.
- [3] V. V. Batyrev and D. van Straten, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Rational Curves on Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*, preprint 1993.
- [4] V.V. Batyrev and L.A. Borisov, *On Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*, in preparation.
- [5] L.A. Borisov, *Towards the Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Gorenstein Toric Fano Varieties*, Preprint 1993 (alg-geom 1000193)
- [6] P. Candelas, E. Derrick and L. Parkes, *Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds and the Mirror of a Rigid Manifold*, Preprint, CERN-TH.6831/93, UTTG-24-92.
- [7] V.I. Danilov, *Geometry of toric varieties*, Russ. Math. Surv., **33** (1978),97-154.
- [8] V.I. Danilov, and A.G. Khovansky, *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers*, Math. USSR-Izv. **29** (1987), 279-298.
- [9] H. Esnault, M.V. Nori, and V. Srinivas, *Hodge type of projective varieties of low degree*, Math. Ann., **293** (1992), 1-6.
- [10] D. Gepner, *Space-Time Supersymmetry in Compactified String Theory and Superconformal Models*, Nucl. Phys. **B296** (1988), 732-750
- [11] B.R. Greene, R. Plesser, *Duality in Calabi-Yau moduli space*, Nucl. Phys. **B338** (1990), 15-37.
- [12] B.R. Greene, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, *Calabi-Yau manifolds and renormalizations of group flows*, Nucl. Phys., **B324** (1989) 371-390.
- [13] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry - An Introduction to the Theory of Toric Varieties*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1988.

- [14] M. Reid, *Yung Person's Guide to Canonical Singularities*, in: *Algebraic Geometry*, Bowdoin 1985, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., AMS, Vol 46 (1987) 345-416.
- [15] S.-S. Roan, *The Mirror of Calabi-Yau Orbifold*, Int. J. of Math. **2** (1991), 439-455.
- [16] R. Schimmrigk, *Critical Superstring Vacua from Noncritical Manifolds: A Novel Framework for String Compactifications and Mirror Symmetry*, Phys. Review Letters, **70** (1993), 3688-3691.
- [17] R. Schimmrigk, *Kähler manifolds with positive first Chern class and Mirrors of Rigid Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, Preprint, BONN-HE-93-47, NSF-ITP-93-146.
- [18] T. Terasoma, *Infinitesimal variation of Hodge structures and the weak global Torelli theorem for complete intersections*, Ann. Math. **132** (1990), 213-235.